

## Original Article



# Comparison of Catheter Functionality and Post-Procedural Consequences in Vascular Access through Saphenofemoral Cutdown and Percutaneous Jugular Vein Catheterization among Children and Neonates

Hossein Najdsepas\*<sup>1</sup> | Nahid Rahimzadeh<sup>2</sup> | Masoud Haghikhanian<sup>3</sup> | Mahyar Maddahali<sup>4</sup> | Maryam Milani Fard<sup>5</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor of Vascular Surgery, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Assisted Professor of Pediatric Surgery, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor of Thoracic Surgery, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Medical Student, Marmara University Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>5</sup>Researcher at the Anesthesia and Pain, Molecular and cell Biology Research center, Faculty of Medicine Department of Anatomy, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran



**Citation** H. Najdsepas, N. Rahimzadeh, M. Haghikhanian, M. Maddahali, M. Milani Fard, **Comparison of Catheter Functionality and Post-Procedural Consequences in Vascular Access through Saphenofemoral Cutdown and Percutaneous Jugular Vein Catheterization among Children and Neonates.** *Eurasian J. Sci. Technol.* 2022, 2(3), 181-189.

 <https://doi.org/10.22034/EJST.2022.2.6>

**Article info:**

**Received:** 08 August 2021

**Accepted:** 10 September 2021

**Available Online:** 10 September 2021

**ID:** EJST-2108-1060

**Checked for Plagiarism:** Yes

**Checked Language:** Yes

**Keywords:**

Catheter, Internal jugular vein, Saphenofemoral vein cutdown, Children

**ABSTRACT**

The present study aimed to evaluate the patency of the two vascular access catheterization techniques including percutaneous IJV cannulation and saphenofemoral cutdown in terms of the functional time of catheterization and the complications of these two vascular access methods among the neonatal and pediatric patients. This prospective interventional case series study was conducted on 88 children admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) and needing an intravenous cannulation. The study population was randomly assigned into two groups undergoing vascular catheterization by saphenous vein cutdown (n=59) and percutaneous internal jugular vein catheterization (n=29). The two groups were compared regarding the rates of catheter blockage; vein thrombosis and infection. The data were analyzed using SPSS software. The duration of catheter functionality was significantly shorter in the saphenofemoral vein cutdown group than that in the internal jugular vein catheterization group. However, we found no significant difference between the two groups in the prevalence rate of hematoma (p = 0.794), bleeding (p = 0.601), catheter blockage (p = 0.989), site infection (p = 0.684), sepsis (p = 0.937), vein thrombosis (P = 0.999), hemothorax (p = 0.937) and pneumothorax (p = 0.937). Vascular access through internal jugular vein resulted in a longer functional catheterization in ICU admitted children, compared with saphenofemoral vein cutdown.

## Introduction

Achievement of a vascular access in pediatric patients is a very difficult, time-consuming, and boring process, [1] and is considered as an important responsibility for pediatric surgeons. During catheterization, efforts are made to insert the catheter in a site that is more accessible in less aggressive manner resulting in lower pain and more long-lasting function [1-3]. Intravenous cannulation facilitates the implementation of hydration therapy in a short time with high osmolarity, total parenteral nutrition, and chemotherapy. In addition, this technique can be used for exact hemodynamic monitoring and rapid drug injection [4-7].

Central venous access in infants could be obtained by cutdown in Cubital fossa, external jugular vein, and proximal saphenous vein. In the neonates weighing more than 3 kg, central venous access can be obtained from the internal jugular, and subclavian veins by percutaneous Seldinger method [8-11].

Internal jugular vein (IJV) is a suitable and trustable site for catheterization with a low rejection risk. The cannulation of the vein is classically performed by palpation and anatomical landmarks. There are various approaches for accessing to the IJV. The IJV access success rate depends on its anatomical variation, depth, and size, as well as the anatomical variation of the carotid artery. In 50% of the cases, IJV is anterior to the carotid artery and parallel to the sternocleidomastoid, and in 30% of the cases, it is located parallel to the cricoid cartilage [12-15].

Doppler sonography facilitates faster venous cannulation, thereby offering great benefits, especially in smaller children, difficult cases, and cases with a previous IJV cannulation [3]. Verghese et al. re-reported that IJV cannulation by ultrasound resulted in a success rate of 100% and carotid artery puncture rate of 0, while classic method had 75% success and 25% puncture rate [16-19]. Venous cannulation is associated with some complications, including artery injury, hematoma, inappropriate catheter placement, thrombosis, and pneumothorax.

Jugular vein diameter differs noticeably during breathing, Valsalva maneuver, Trendelenburg position, and liver compression [20-22].

Femoral vein is one of the other suitable sites for central vein access rendering a high success rate and having lower possibility of femoral artery puncture. The femoral vein cannulation could be performed by even doctors who are not really professional in this domain. Moreover, it is a low-risk method and do not expose patients to severe complications of the thorax [23-25]. Accordingly, Stenzel et al., reported a lower complication incidence rate for femoral vein catheterization (3.7%), compared with that for non-femoral methods (7.3%). In the mentioned study, femoral vein thrombosis rate was demonstrated to range within 4-35% depending on patient's age and condition, as well as catheter size [26-28].

One of the methods used to obtain central venous access in neonatal and pediatric patients is saphenofemoral vein cutdown that is usually associated with many complications and is functional for a short period of time. The complications of this method are similar to those reported for femoral vein catheterization [29].

Overall, it seems that each venous access technique may be accompanied by potential limitations in spite of their advantages [30-33]. This is important in two ways. First, children and infants are far more likely than adults to be prone to complications from vascular catheterization, such as bleeding, infections, or accessory displacement. Second, in case of needing long-term vascular catheter placement (for instance in chemotherapy or some major surgeries), it is essential to use the best vascular catheterization technique with the most patency and minimal complications to maintain and ensure the patient's survival. Hence, the present study aimed to evaluate the patency of the two vascular access catheterization techniques including IJV cannulation and saphenofemoral cutdown in terms of the functional time of catheterization and the complications of these two vascular access methods among the neonatal and pediatric patients [34].

## Methods

### *Study Population and Procedures*

This prospective interventional case series study was conducted on 86 consecutive neonatal and pediatric patients admitted to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) of the Rasoul-e-Akram Hospital in Teh-ran, Iran between 2017 and 2019. All the participants' parents accepted voluntary participate and signed an informed consent before beginning the interventions. It was not possible to perform group allocation randomly owing to two reasons. First, since IJV catheterization should be performed under complete sedation, if the patient had no peripheral venous access, sedation was not possible; therefore, the researcher had to assign him/her to the femoral vein cutdown group. Second, the patients with repetitive central venous insertion caused by a long-term hospital stay should have placed in the femoral vein cutdown group as well. Thus, the participants were divided into two groups of saphenofemoral cutdown and IJV catheterization according to the required samples (according to the sample size calculation), the patients' clinical situation and also the physician judgment. According to the study by Hsu et al. (12), subclavian vein access was associated with a higher rate of catheter complications compared with the venous cutdown technique with the Odds Ratio of 6.77. Considering the relative precision of 50%, confidence level of 80%, expected prevalence of the outcome of 20%, and expected odds ratio of 6.77, we estimated the required sample size for the study to be 29 patients in each arm, but we considered 29 patients in saphenofemoral vein cut-down group and 59 patients (about two times) in IJV catheterization group. In the former group, catheterization was performed through saphenofemoral cutdown under local anesthesia in supine position. This procedure was performed by surgical loupe magnification and fixing the lower limb in external rotation, followed by making a small incision on the skin under inguinal ligament according to the anatomic landmarks, i.e., 2 cm inferior and external to pubis tubercle. After finding the vein, we dissected toward saphenofemoral junction. Proximal and distal regions of the vein were held

by 0-4 silk thread; then, the vein was cannulated with catheter (5F, 5cm) and distal part of the vein was ligated. After ensuring the catheter function (by aspirating blood from catheter lumen) and ligating the distal vein to catheter to prevent bleeding, it was fixed to the skin. For the latter group, in order to insert internal jugular catheter, a complete venous sedation was induced in Trendelenburg position with neck in extension under ultrasound guidance. The IJV was cannulated, and the guidewire was passed into it. After passing the catheter through the guidewire, the guidewire was withdrawn. The catheter was fixed to the skin when its function was ensured. To control the place of catheter and its associated complications, all patients were subjected to chest X-ray [35].

### *Study Endpoint*

In addition to comparing baseline characteristics across the two interventional groups, we assessed the following parameters as the post-procedural outcome: a) the duration of catheter functionality and b) post-procedural complications including hematoma, bleeding, catheter blockage, site infection, sepsis, and vein thrombosis [36-38].

### *Statistical Analysis*

The quantitative variables were presented as mean and standard deviation, and categorical variables were expressed as frequency and percentage. Mann Whitney U test was employed to compare the quantitative variables. Furthermore, the categorical variables were compared using the Chi-square test when more than 20% of cells with the expected count of less than 5 were observed. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), (IBM Corp. Released 2013, IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp) was used for analysis. P values of 0.05 or less were considered statistically significant [39].

## Results

Out of the 86 patients included in this study, 59 (67%) and 29 (33%) subjects were assigned into the IJV catheter and saphenofemoral vein

cutdown groups, respectively. The mean ages of the IJV catheter and saphenofemoral cutdown groups were  $20.41 \pm 15.07$  and  $15.45 \pm 11.52$  months, respectively. Furthermore, 54.2% (n=32) and 45.8% (n=27) of the patients in the IJV and saphenofemoral cutdown groups were male, respectively. The results revealed a significant difference between the two groups regarding the duration of catheter functionality ( $P=0.021$ ).

In addition, we found no significant difference between the two groups in the prevalence rate of hematoma ( $p = 0.794$ ), bleeding ( $p = 0.601$ ), catheter blockage ( $p = 0.989$ ), site infection ( $p = 0.684$ ), sepsis ( $p = 0.937$ ), and vein thrombosis ( $P = 0.999$ ). Additionally, hemothorax and pneumothorax were not observed in any patient in the IJV and cutdown group (Table 1).

**Table 1** Post-procedural outcome in neonates undergoing vascular access techniques

Outcome	Cutdown (n = 29)	Jugular catheter (n = 59)	P value
Duration of catheter functionality	$11.4 \pm 12.2$	$14.82 \pm 11.39$	0.021
Hematoma	3 (10.3)	5 (8.5)	0.795
Bleeding	2 (6.9)	2 (3.4)	0.601
Catheter blockage	1 (3.4)	2 (3.4)	0.989
Site infection	3 (10.3)	4 (6.8)	0.684
Sepsis	1 (3.4)	0 (0.0)	0.937
Vein thrombosis	1 (3.4)	1 (1.7)	0.999
Hemothorax	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0.0
Pneumothorax	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0.0

## Discussion

According to our analysis, vascular access through saphenofemoral cutdown resulted in longer catheter functionality, compared with internal jugular access. However post-procedural rare complications including the infection and thrombosis were not different across the two groups. Nonetheless, patients were exposed to severe complications, such as pneumothorax, hemothorax, and tamponade [40-42].

According to the results of the current study, the duration of catheter functionality was shorter in the children undergoing saphenofemoral cutdown compared with that in the IJV catheter group. To the best of our knowledge, there is no study in the literature comparing the duration of catheter functionality between two groups of IJV catheter and saphenofemoral cutdown. In line with our results, in a study performed by Hackbarth et al. (2007) on children with renal transplantation in the United States, the functional time of catheter through IJV cannulation was longer than that of femoral and subclavian catheters [43-45]. In a

prospective study carried out in the United States, Murai et al. (2002) evaluated 26 and 34 infants undergoing 47 and 64 femoral and internal jugular catheterizations, respectively (in total 60 infants with 111 catheters) [46-49]. They reported that the two catheterization methods were successful. Inconsistent with our results, in the mentioned study, the durations of catheter functionality were determined as 24 and 17 days for femoral and IJV catheterizations, respectively, which were significantly different between the two groups [50-53]. In another study, Zarei et al. (2012) evaluated 80 children admitted to ICU and undergoing central venous catheterization in Iran. In congruence with our findings, they demonstrated that most of the catheters were inserted through the IJV with a mean catheter duration of 14 days. As the complication incidence rate in fixing central venous catheter is acceptable, it could remain for long time in patients who are admitted in ICU [54-56]. Tismit et al. (2013) conducted a clinical trial on 2,128 patients with at least one IJV catheter (n=1001) or one femoral catheter (n=1,301) in France. They observed no difference between the two groups in terms of

the sepsis rate caused by catheter, although colonization risk for femoral catheter was higher in women [57-59]. In the mentioned study, catheter remained for more than 4 days, and the risk of colonization of catheter between femoral and jugular group during less first 5 days was different [60-63]. They reported that femoral access group had a higher catheter colonization risk after 5 days, compared with the IJV group, which was the cause of changing the catheter place af-ter 5 days [64-66].

In the mentioned study, the incidence rate of pneumothorax was reported as 2% that could be re-duced by using ultrasound. Arterial injury and hematoma were also observed in less than 2 % of cases that could be corrected by direct pressure on arterial puncture site and correction of coagula-tion state. Hemothorax is a rare complication that is caused by many catheterization attempts and in difficult cases. Cardiac tamponade and venous laceration are caused by catheter or guide-wire trauma. Catheter blockage usually occurs when a thrombosis is present. Sepsis is caused by multi-lumen catheters, blood transfusion, malignancy, and bone marrow transplantation in infants [67].

Nazem et al. (2006) evaluated 20 infants and estimated the functional time of IJV catheter as  $18 \pm 11.9$  days with a maximum of 98 days. In the mentioned study, postoperative bleeding occurred in 9.5% of the infants and caused death in one case, who was premature and had hyaline membrane disease. Furthermore, liquid leakage was reported in 4.8% of the cases that was diagnosed by radi-ography [68-70]. Thrombosis also occurred in 9.5% of the cases despite the correct placement of catheter. However, in the mentioned study, sepsis, hemothorax, pneumothorax, hydrothorax, and cellulitis were not observed. In 40% of the cases, erythema was seen on the catheter insertion site when cath-eter remained for more than 10 days. Additionally, the incidental ejection of catheter with infant movement was reported in 9.5% of the cases [71-73].

Vascular access through IJV is a simple method; however, it is accompanied by two issue, using ultrasound guiding technique and infection risk

[74]. In the present study, the results revealed no dif-ference between the two groups in terms of the incidence of such complications as hematoma, bleeding, catheter blockage, and vein thrombosis. Totally, IJV catheterization resulted in a higher complication incidence rate, compared with femoral catheterization. In addition, the insertion of IJV catheter requires a higher accuracy and attention in operation room than the placement of ve-nous other catheters [75].

Inconsistent with our results, Murei et al., found no difference between the IJV and femoral cathe-terizations in terms of mortality rate and complication incidence. They concluded that femoral cath-eterization is an effective and suitable vascular access, compared with jugular access [9]. Srisan et al., obtained results similar to our findings. In this regard, they concluded that in children admitted to ICU, one of the risk factors of complications of central venous catheters is to fix the catheter through internal jugular vein. In the mentioned study, IJV catheter was reported as the only risk factor for sepsis [76-78]. In another study conducted by Rus et al. (2016), it was demonstrated that fem-oral vein catheterization in children under hemodialysis had lower complications, compared with the IJV cannulation, which is in agreement with our findings [79-81]. Reyes et al. (2012) reported no as-sociation between the incidence of infection and catheter placement through femoral vein or IJV in children admitted to the ICU, which is in congruence with our study results [82].

Finally, according to the results of the previous studies and those of our study, IJV catheterization in the ICU admitted children can be claimed to be more effective in the functional time of catheter, compared with saphenofemoral cutdown. Nonetheless, it is noticeable that there was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of the incidence of such complications as hematoma, bleeding, catheter blockage, catheter site infection, and vein thrombosis, pneumothorax and hemo-thorax [83-85].

## Conclusion

As the findings of the present study indicated, IJV catheterization in the ICU admitted children was more effective in the length of functionality of catheter, compared with saphenofemoral cut-down. However, there was no significant difference between the IJV and saphenofemoral cutdown catheterizations regarding the incidence rate of such complications as hematoma, bleeding, catheter blockage, catheter site infection, and vein thrombosis.

## Conflict of Interest

All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest to disclose.

## Source of support

None

## Acknowledgments

We would like to show our gratitude to the Rasoul Akram Hospital Clinical Research Development Center (RCRDC) for its technical and editorial assists.

## ORCID

Maryam Milani Fard  
<https://www.orcid.org/0000-0002-0888-8847>

## References

- [1] A. Amini, H. Shahpoori Arani, M. Milani Fard, *Eurasian Journal of Science and Technology*, **2021**, *1*, 421-424. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [2] A.M.M. Fard, M.M. Fard, *Eurasian Journal of Science and Technology*, **2021**, *1*, 384-398. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [3] A. Samimi, *International Science and Investigation journal*, **2014**, *3*, 57-64. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [4] A. Samimi, *Journal of Engineering in Industrial Research*, **2021**, *2*, 71-76. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [5] A. Susanabadi, M.S. Sadri, H. Taleby, S. Etemadi, B. Mahmoodiyeh, M.M. Fard, *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, **2021**, *25*, 2703-2716. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [6] A. Susanabadi, S. Etemadi, M.S. Sadri, B. Mahmoodiyeh, H. Taleby, M.M. Fard, *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, **2021**, *25*, 2875-2887. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [7] A. Yarahmadi, K. Kamrava, A. Shafee, M.M. Fard, M. Aghajanpour, A. Mohebbi, *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International*, **2020**, *31*, 1-6. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [8] A. Bozorgian, S. Zarinabadi, A. Samimi, *Journal of Chemical Reviews*, **2020**, *2*, 122-129. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [9] A.M.M. Fard, M.M. Fard, *Journal of Science and Technology Research*, **2021**, *1*, 284-301. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [10] A.M.M. Fard, M.M. Fard, *Eurasian Journal of Science and Technology*, **2021**, *1*, 384-398. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [11] A.M.M. Fard, M.M. Fard, *Eurasian Journal of Science and Technology*, **2021**, *1*, 284-301. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [12] A.O. Shirazi, H. Jahandideh, A. Yarahmadi, M.M. Fard, M.M. Delarestaghi, *Medical Science*, **2020**, *24*, 2467-2474 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [13] B. Mahmoodiyeh, S. Etemadi, A. Kamali, S. Rajabi, M.M. Fard, *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, **2021**, *25*, 2559-2572. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [14] Barmasi, *Journal of Engineering in Industrial Research*, **2020**, *1*, 161-169. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [15] A. Bozorgian, *Journal of Engineering in Industrial Research*, **2020**, *1*, 1-18. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [16] E.S. Motaharian, B. Mahmoodiyeh, S. Lorestani, M.S. Sadri, M.M. Fard, A.M.M. Fard, A. Amini, *Journal of Chemical Reviews*, **2021**, *3*, 171-180. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [17] E.A. Mahdiraji, M. Sedghi Amiri, *Journal of Engineering in Industrial Research*, **2020**, *1*, 111-122. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [18] F.E. Sadr, Z. Abadi, N.E. Sadr, M.M. Fard, *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell*

- Biology*, **2021**, *25*, 6839-6852. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [19] T.A. Izadi, A. Borjali, A. Delavar, H. Eskandari, *Danesh-e-Entezami*, **2009**, *11*, 182-207. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [20] F. Zabihi, M.A. Abbasi, R. Alimoradzadeh, *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, **2021**, *25*, 2573-2579. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [21] F. Gharekhani Kasa, *Journal of Engineering in Industrial Research*, **2020**, *1*, 51-74. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [22] F. Rebut, *Journal of Engineering in Industrial Research*, **2020**, *1*, 19-37. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [23] F. Zare Kazemabadi, A. Heydarinasab, A. Akbarzadeh, M. Ardjmand, *Artificial cells, nanomedicine, and biotechnology*, **2019**, *47*, 3222-3230. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [24] F. Zare Kazemabadi, A. Heydarinasab, A. Akbarzadehkhayavi, M. Ardjmand, *Chemical Methodologies*, **2021**, *5*, 135-152. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [25] G. Heydari, F. Hadavand, H. Maneshi, N. Moatamed, K. Vahdat, M. Fattah, H.R.A. Otaghvar, *Iranian South Medical Journal*, **2014**, *16*, 479-485. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [26] H. Jahandideh, A. Yarahmadi, S. Rajaieh, A. Ostvar Shirazi, M.M. Fard, A. Yarahmadi, *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International*, **2019**, *1-7*. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [27] H. Mirjalili, R. Alimoradzadeh, A. Amini, *Principles of Intensive Care, CCU, ICU and Dialysis (Book 5)*, Scholar Press, 2021. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [28] H.A. Danesh, *Focus on Medical Sciences Journal*, **2018**, *4*, 9-13. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [29] H.A. Danesh, M. Saboury, A. Sabzi, M. Saboury, M. Jafary, S. Saboury, *Medical Journal of The Islamic Republic of Iran (MJIRI)*, **2015**, *29*, 105-109, [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [30] H.A. Danesh, M. Saboury, A. Sabzi, M. Saboury, M. Jafary, S. Saboury, *Medical journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran*, **2015**, *29*, 172-176. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [31] H.A. Danesh, S. Javanbakht, M. Nourallahzadeh, N.M. Bakhshani, S. Danesh, F. Nourallahzadeh, F. Rezaei, H.R.A. Otaghour, *International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction*, **2019**, *8*, e66232. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [32] H.R.A. Otaghvar, K. Afsordeh, M. Hosseini, N. Mazhari, M. Dousti, *Journal of Surgery and Trauma*, **2020**, *8*, 156-160. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [33] H.R.A. Otaghvar, M. Baniahmad, A.M. Pashazadeh, I. Nabipour, H. Javadi, L. Rezaei, M. Assadi, *Iranian Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, **2014**, *22*, 7-10. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [34] H.R.A. Otaghvar, M. Hoseini, A. Mirmalek, H. Ahmari, F. Arab, N. Amiri Mohtasham, *Iranian Journal of Surgery*, **2014**, *22*, 1-11. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [35] H.R.A. Otaghvar, P. Soleymanzadeh, M. Hosseini, S. Karbalaei-Esmaeili, *Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics*, **2015**, *11*, 655. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [36] H.R.A. Otaghvar, S. Firoozbakht, S. Montazeri, S. Khazraie, M. Bani Ahmad, M. Hajiloo, *ISMJ*, **2011**, *14*, 134-139. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [37] I.M. Zeidi, H. Morshedi, H.R.A. Otaghvar, *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene*, **2020**, *61*, E601. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [38] K. Ghajarzadeh, M.M. Fard, H. Alizadeh Otaghvar, S.H.R. Faiz, A. Dabbagh, M. Mohseni, S.S. Kashani, A.M.M. Fard, M.R. Alebouyeh, *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, **2021**, *25*, 2457-2465. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [39] K. Ghajarzadeh, M.M. Fard, M.R. Alebouyeh, H. Alizadeh Otaghvar, A. Dabbagh, M. Mohseni, S.S. Kashani, A.M.M. Fard, S.H.R. Faiz, *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, **2021**, *25*, 2466-2484. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [40] K. Ghajarzadeh, M.M. Fard, H. Alizadeh Otaghvar, S.H.R. Faiz, A. Dabbagh, M. Mohseni, S.S. Kashani, A.M.M. Fard, M.R. Alebouyeh, *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, **2021**, *25*, 2449-2456. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]

- [41] M.M. Fard, A. Amini, M. Shafie Aghol, *Eurasian Journal of Science and Technology*, **2021**, *1*, 399-411. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [42] M.M. Fard, A.M.M. Fard, *Eurasian Journal of Science and Technology*, **2021**, *1*, 365-383. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [43] M.M. Fard, A.M.M. Fard, *Eurasian Journal of Science and Technology*, **2021**, *1*, 271-283. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [44] M. Mokhtare, R. Alimoradzadeh, S. Agah, H. Mirmiranpour, N. Khodabandehloo, *Middle East journal of digestive diseases*, **2017**, *9*, 228. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [45] M. Hajilou, H.R.A. Otaghvar, S. Mirmalek, F. Yosefi, S. Khazrai, N. Tahery, M. Jafari, *Iranian Journal of Surgery*, **2013**, *21*, 0-0 [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [46] M. Hosseini, A. Tizmaghz, G. Shabestanipour, A. Aein, H.R.A. Otaghvar, *Annual Research & Review in Biology*, **2014**, *4*, 4381-4388. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [47] M. Hosseini, A. Tizmaghz, H.R.A. Otaghvar, M. Shams, *Advances in Surgical Sciences*, **2014**, *2*, 5-8. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [48] M. Hosseini, H.R.A. Otaghvar, A. Tizmaghz, G. Shabestanipour, S. Arvaneh, *Medical journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran*, **2015**, *29*, 239. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [49] M. Rohani, H.R.B. Baradaran, A. Sanagoo, M. Sarani, S. Yazdani, H.R. Alizadeh, *Razi journal of medical sciences*, **2016**, *23*, 115-124. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [50] M. Sarani, M. Oveisi, H. Rahimian Mashhadi, H.R.A. Otaghvar, *Weed Research*, **2016**, *56*, 50-58. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [51] M. Tarahomi, H.R.A. Otaghvar, D. Shojaei, F. Goravanchi, A. Molaei, *Case reports in surgery*, **2016**. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [52] M. Yavari, S.E. Hassanpour, H.A. Otaghvar, H.A. Abdolrazaghi, A.R. Farhoud, *Archives of Bone and Joint Surgery*, **2019**, *7*, 258. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [53] M. Zargar, H.R.A. Otaghvar, A. Danaei, M. Babaei, *Razi Journal of Medicinal Science*, **2017**, *24*, 88-98. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [54] M. Zbuzant, *Journal of Engineering in Industrial Research*, **2020**, *1*, 75-81. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [55] M.D. Feizollah Niazi, S. Niazi, H.R.A. Otaghvar, F. Goravanchi, *Res. Bul. Med. Sci.*, **2018**, *23*, 7. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [56] M.M. Fard, A.M.M. Fard, *Journal of Science and Technology Research*, **2021**, *1*, 365-383. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [57] M.R. Guity, H.R.A. Otaghvar, M. Tavakolli, A.R. Farhoud, *J Orthop Spine Trauma*, **2016**, *2*. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [58] M.B. Abhari, P.F. Afshar, R. Alimoradzadeh, H. Mirmiranpour, *Immunopathologia Persa.*, **2019**, *6*, e10-e10. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [59] P. Atef Vahid, M. Hosseini, H.R.A. Otaghvar, A. Tizmaghz, G. Shabestanipour, *J. Clin. Diagn. Res.*, **2016**, *10*, PC19-PC22. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [60] R. Alimoradzadeh, H. Mirmiranpour, P. Hashemi, S. Pezeshki, S.S. Salehi, *Journal of Neurology & Neurophysiology*, **2019**, *10*, 1-5. [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [61] R. Alimoradzadeh, M. Mokhtare, S. Agah, *Iranian Journal of Ageing*, **2017**, *12*, 78-89. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [62] R. Alimoradzadeh, M.A. Abbasi, F. Zabihi, H. Mirmiranpour, *Iranian Journal of Ageing*, **2021**, *15*, 524-533. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [63] R. Seyedian, S.M. Hosseini, N. Seyyedian, S. Gharibi, N. Sepahy, S. Naserinejad, S. Ghodrati, M. Bahtouei, H.R.A. Otaghvar, A. Zare Mir akabadi, *Iranian Suth Medical Journal(ISMJ)*, **2013**, *16*, 215-224. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [64] S. Etemadi, B. Mahmoodiyeh, S. Rajabi, A. Kamali, M. Milanifard, *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, **2021**, *25*, 2417-2426. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [65] S. Zarinabadi, A. Esfandiyari, S.A. Khoddami, A. Samimi, *Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, **2016**, *8*,



- 1133-1149. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [66] S. Zarinabadi, A. Samimi, *Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, **2016**, *8*, 1160-1172. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [67] S.A. Mirmalek, F. Tirgari, H.R. Alizadeh, *Iranian Journal of Surgery*, **2005**, *13*, 48-54. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [68] S.E. Hasanpour, E. Rouhi Rahim Begloo, H. Jafarian, M. Aliyari, A.M. Shariati Moghadam, H. Haghani, H.R.A. Otaghvar, *Journal of Client-Centered Nursing Care*, **2017**, *3*, 223-230. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [69] S.E. Hassanpour, M. Abbasnezhad, H.R.A. Otaghvar, A. Tizmaghz, *Plastic surgery international*, **2018**. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [70] S.M. Hashemi, M. Hashemi, G. Bahari, A. Khaledi, H. Danesh, A. Allahyari, *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, **2020**, *21*, 2479-2484. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [71] S.M. Hashemi, M. Sadeghi, A.V. Tabas, S. Bouya, H.A. Danesh, H.A. Khazaei, A. Allahyari, *Health Sciences*, **2016**, *5*, 662-666, [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [72] S.M. Hashemi, M.Sadeghi, A. Vahedi Tabas, S. Bouya, H.A. Danesh, A. Khazaei, A. Allahyari, *International Journal of Cancer Management*, **2017**, *10*, e11463. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [73] S.M. Moosavizadeh, H.R.A. Otaghvar, M. Baghae, A. Zavari, H. Mohyeddin, H. Fattahiyan, B. Farazmand, S.M.A. Moosavizadeh, *Medical journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran*, **2018**, *32*, 99. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [74] S.M.S. Mirnezami, F. Zare Kazemabadi, A. Heydarinasab, *Progress in Chemical and Biochemical Research*, **2021**, *4*, 191-206. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [75] M. Bagheri Sadr, A. Bozorgian, *Journal of Chemical Reviews*, **2021**, *3*, 66-82. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [76] M. Bagheri Sadr, A. Bozorgian, *Journal of Chemical Reviews*, **2021**, *3*, 66-82. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [77] A. Bozorgian, *Journal of Chemical Reviews*, **2021**, *3*, 50-65. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [78] N. Kayedi, A. Samimi, M. Asgari Bajgirani, A. Bozorgian, *South African Journal of Chemical Engineering*, **2021**, *35*, 153-158. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [79] S.V. Mousavi, A. Bozorgian, N. Mokhtari, M.A. Gabris, H.R. Nodeh, *Microchemical Journal*, **2019**, *145*, 914-920. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [80] A. Bozorgian, *Advanced Journal of Chemistry, Section B: Natural Products and Medical Chemistry*, **2021**, *3*, 54-61. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [81] A. Haghghi Asl, A. Ahmadpour, N. Fallah, *Applied Chemistry*, **2017**, *12*, 253-286. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [82] A. Bozorgian, A. Samimi, *International Journal of New Chemistry*, **2021**, *8*, 41-58. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [83] M. Bagheri sadr, A. Bozorgian, *International Journal of Advanced Studies in Humanities and Social Science*, **2020**, *9*, 252-261. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [84] A. Bozorgian, *Journal of Engineering in Industrial Research*, **2020**, *1*, 1-19. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]
- [85] A. Bozorgian, *Chemical Review and Letters*, **2020**, *3*, 79-85. [[crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)]