## **Original Article**

9

# Manufacture of Modified Protective Coating Derived from Coal Industry

## Amin Ahmadpour | Alireza Bozorgian\*

Department of Chemical engineering, Mahshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mahshahr, Iran



Citation A. Ahmadpour, A. Bozorgian, Manufacture of Modified Protective Coating Derived from Coal Industry. *Eurasian J. Sci. Technol.*, **2021**, *1*(1), 28-36.

doi <u>https://doi.org/10.48309/EJST.2021.284979.1013</u>



Article info: Received: 06 January 2021 Accepted: 11 April 2021 Available Online: 09 May 2021 ID: JSTR-2105-1013 Checked for Plagiarism: Yes Checked for Language: Yes

Keywords: Pitch, Coating, Sea water, Mortar.

## Introduction

itch represents 30% to 60% of the coal tar. It is a very complex bituminous substance, and has been estimated to contain about 5000 compounds. It is composed predominantly of the elements, carbon (about 93%) and hydrogen (about 4.5 %) and small amounts of nitrogen, oxygen, and sulfur compounds [1,2]. Pitch is a thermoplastic material and possesses powerful adhesion to most surfaces, unique water resistance and moisture impermeability.

## **ABSTRACT**

In this work, coal residue (pitch) was used to produce an inexpensive protective coating. The residue is primarily rehabitated for just to be suitable for use as a coating material (reference coat) by dissolving it in benzene in a ratio of Pitch/Solvent (P/S) = 3:1 by weight. The reference coating was then mixed with polyurethane (commercial type) in percentages ranging from 5 to 15 % by weight of the solid tar pitch. Well mature mortar cubes (7x7x7cm) with a (w/c =0.4) and sand-cement (s/c= 2.75) were cast and covered with the prepared coatings. The cubes were then soaked individually in tap water, MgCl2-5% conc. and H2SO4- 3.0% conc. for different periods of time. The last two reagents represent sea water and 5 years complete immersion in sewage water respectively. The effect of reagents on the compressive strength, water retention and weight was measured for the cubes. The results revealed that, all prepared coatings gave satisfied physical characteristics. Addition of PUR up to 10% by weight of coal residue produced coating materials with the most favorable physical and chemical behavior.

Usually, pitch rehabitation may be done by blending it with bitumen and rubber such as many vinyl-type polymers and copolymers. It is widely used in various branches of the economy among which electrical applications as electrode. roofing. damp proofing, waterproofing and coating for pipes [3-7] Recently, coal tar pitch is used as a raw material for various mesophasic materials [8-10], a carbon / carbon composite matrix, and carbon membranes [11-13] Generally, carbon materials can be synthesized by pyrolyzing the organic precursor of tar pitch which are attractive candidates as anode materials for rechargeable lithium batteries [14]. On the other hand, a low softening point coal tar pitch

\*Corresponding Author: Alireza Bozorgian (a.bozorgian@mhriau.ac.ir)

## **Eurasian Journal of Science and Technology**

is blended with a molded and fired clav to be used in electrochemical applications [15]. Polymeric additives are also used to modify the rheological properties of pitch for application in building construction as insulating seal materials and anticorrosion protective coating [8]. It is a known fact that, coal tar is a specific high boiling mixed solvent capable of dissolution of most polymers [16]. Most of polymers used are plastic waste types like PET, PAN, PS & ABS. The polymer is mixed in a ratio of 1:1 (w/w) at 800°C and then activated with a steam at 50% burn – off. This type of modified pitch shows similar or better phenol adsorption properties than commercial activated carbons [17].

This paper introduces a procedure for producing and evaluation of modified coal tar pitch with polyurethane suitable for use as a protective coating for mortar and concrete in different types of water (tap, sewage and sea).

## **Materials and Method**

The raw materials used in this study were as follows:

### **Table 1** Characteristics of the Virgin Tar Pitch

1-Waste tar pitch (T.P) obtained from El Nasr for Cock Industry and Basic Chemicals Co. (COKE) In Egypt

2-Commercial polyurethane (PUR) under trade name of "Chemapore 312".

3-Tap water, magnesium chloride (MgCl2-5% concentration) and Sulfuric acid (H2SO4- 3.0% concentration). It must be mentioned here that, the last two reagents represent sea water and 5 years complete immersed in sewage water respectively [18].

4-Benzene (Commercial grade)

## Experimental Design

To achieve the research objective, the experimental program included four steps. The tests were achieved according to American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM).

## Characterization of Tar Pitch (T.P)

Table 1 and Figure 1 illustrate the physical characteristics and Infrared Spectra (FTIR) using the instrument of model "Mattson – infinity series bench tab 961".

Characteristic	ASTM Method	Result
Softening Point, °C	D36	70
Penetration @ 25 °C, 0.1 mm	D5	Zero
Ash Content, wt %	D146	0.16
Water Content, wt %	D2216	0.197
Density, @ 25 °C, Kg / m <sup>3</sup>	D71	1000.314
Initial Curing Time, hrs	D1640	ND (*)
Final Curing Tim	D1640	1 Minute
Adhesion Degree	D3359	V. Good
Dry Layer Thickness, mm	D1005	1.8

## **Table 2** Properties of Commercial Polyurethane

Properties	Results
Solid Content, wt %	98 - 100
Density @ 25 °C, Kg / L	$1.56 \pm 0.04$
Viscosity @ 25 °C, m.Pa.s	$1500 \pm 500$
Initial Curing Time @ 25 °C, h	24
Final Curing Time, @ 25 °C, Days	7

#### 2021, Volume 1, Issue 1

### Primary Modification of the T.P

In this step, primary rehabitation for tar pitch was done just to be suitable for use as a coating material (reference coat) by dissolving it in benzene in a ratio of Pitch/Solvent (P/S) = 3:1 by weight based on suitable characteristics for industrial application.

# Modification of the T.P by Using Polyurethane (PUR)

In this step, PUR was added in percentages ranging from 5 to 15 % by weight of the solid tar pitch. The preparation of coating started after heating the calculated amount of pitch in a suitable container to a temperature ranging from 190-200°C and then mixed slowly for two hours with the desired volume of PUR. The previous determined amount of solvent was added dropwise. The blend was stirred gently for another one hour to make sure of complete homogeneity before it was ready for use. The characteristics of the prepared coatings are illustrated in Table 3.

#### Coating of Mortar Cubes

#### Mortar Cubes Preparation

Mortar cubes measuring  $(7 \times 7 \times 7 \text{ cm})$  using commercial grade of Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) produced by" Helwan Company of Cement " with water-cement(w/c) and sandcement (s/c) ratios of 0.485 and 2.75, respectively, were prepared. The cubes were cast in standard moulds and water cured for a week and then left to dry and mature. Before coating application, the surfaces of cubes were cleaned. The all-prepared coatings were applied separately with a brush and then left to dry at room temperature.

# *Evaluation the Effect of All Prepared Coatings on the Performance of Mortar Cubes*

In this step, water absorption (ASTM- C97), compressive strength (ASTM-C 109), chloride permeability (according to Ion Chromatography

## **Eurasian Journal of Science and Technology**

handbook), and chemical resistance (ASTM-267) after complete immersion in different reagents for different periods of time (3,7,14,21&28 days) were determined knowing that:

R.T.R. = Primary modified tar pitch (reference sample).

R.T.R.PU<sub>5, 10&15</sub> = Modified tar pitch containing Polyurethane in percentages of 5, 10 &15% by weight of the pitch respectively.

The rate of water retention and sorptivity values could be calculated using the following equations [19].

Rate of water retention = Ww / Act & Sorptivity = Vw /Ac t1/2

Where:

 $W_w$  = water weight gained by the specimens, (kg)

 $A_c$  = cross sectional area of each specimen, (m<sup>2</sup>)

t = time of exposure, (hr)

 $V_w$  = water volume absorbed by specimens, (m<sup>3</sup>)

## **Results and Discussion**

#### Characteristics of Virgin Tar Pitch

It was detected from Table 3-1 and Figure 3-1 that, the absorbance at 3711 cm<sup>-1</sup> is 1.41 indicating that the coal tar contains high concentration of the alcoholic and phenolic components. It showed high absorption band at about 1920 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which corresponds to C-H stretching in the aromatic components. The bands at about 1786 cm-1 indicated the presence of aldehydes, ketones, carboxylic acids and some esters, mainly aromatic esters. Also, the percent of the polymeric compounds in the coal tar is found to be higher. At the band at about 1340 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicating sulphones, the absorption band is at about 2920 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and about 3030 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to the C-C stretching in alkanes [20-25].



Figure 1 FTIR Spectrum of waste tar pitch

## Characteristics of Modified Coatings

The characteristics of prepared modified coatings are illustrated in Table 3. The following results were obtained:

-Comparing to the reference sample, the characteristics of modified coatings showed

noticeable increase in density and saybolt viscosity by the increase of the concentrations of polyurethane. The adhesion property was excellent on the addition of PUR. This may be attributed to the effect of PUR addition as well as the presence of the polar groups which increase the polar attraction of modified tar pitch to mortar [26-29].

<b>Table 5</b> characteristics of mounted rai nestud

Property		Description					
		Toperty	R.T.R	R.T.R <sub>5</sub>	R.T.R <sub>10</sub>	R.T.R <sub>15</sub>	
	C)	Solid Content, wt %	75	75	75	75	
_	efore	Density @ 25 °C, Kg / L	1.092	1.101	1.112	1.122	
atior	Be	Saybolt Viscosity @ 30 °C, s	95	110	127	143	
plic		Initial Curing Time, hrs	10	15	20	30	
g Ap		Final Curing Time, Days	2	4	8	12	
atin	ar	Gloss	140	138	135	134	
Co Afte		Adhesion	V. Good	V. Good		Excellent	
		Dry Layer Thickness, μm	180	180	180	150	

-Initial and final curing times were also retarded (increased) while, the degree of gloss decreased as the amount of PUR increased. This may be explained by the statement that PUR is a material that has low gloss characteristics and long final curing time [30-33].

- The dry layer thickness was not affected by the addition of PUR up to 10%. The two

#### 2021, Volume 1, Issue 1

## **Eurasian Journal of Science and Technology**

concentrations showed same thickness ( $180\mu m$ ). The addition of 15% PUR showed a decrease to  $150 \mu m$ . This may be attributed to increase in viscosity of the coating so, its workability was decreased.

*Effect of the Different Chemical Reagents on Performance of Mortar Cubes*  *The Effect of Chemical Reagents on the Change in Weight of Mortar Cubes* 

The percent change in weight after complete immersion in all chemical regents was illustrated in Figures 2 and 4.

Table 4 %Change in the weight for mortar	cubes coated	with unmodified	and modified	T.R after
Immersion in 3% Sulfuric acid solution				

Immonsion Time (day)		Change in Weight Percent Of Mortar Cubes			
miniersion mine (day)	Uncoated	R.T.R	R.T.R.PU5	R.T.R.PU <sub>10</sub>	R.T.R.PU <sub>15</sub>
3	3.246	0.203	0.297	0.561	0.586
7	4.707	0.335	0.433	1.023	1.042
14	6.817	0.652	2.841	1.954	3.702
21	8.445	3.013	4.244	5.083	5.418
28	10.637	4.738	8.909	6.889	7.063



**Figure 2** % Change in Weight for Mortar Cubes Coated with Unmodified and Modified T.R after Immersion in Tap Water



**Figure 3** % Change in the Weight for Mortar Cubes Coated with Unmodified and Modified T.R after Immersion in 3% Sulfuric Acid Solution



**Figure 4** % Change in Weight for Mortar Cubes Coated with Unmodified and Modified P.R after Immersion in 5 % Magnesium Chloride Solution

-Generally, there was a gradual increase in weight relative to immersion time in all reagents [34].

In case of tap water, this may be attributed to the motion of water molecules into the cubes, partial dissolution and leaching on immersion of mortar cubes in solutions [35]. In case of acid solution, it may be as a result of reaction of  $H_2SO_4$  acid and  $Ca(OH)_2$ . The final

products were Calcium Sulfate "CaSO<sub>4</sub>" and water according to the following equation:

 $H_2SO_4 + Ca (OH)_2 \rightarrow CaSO_4.2H_2O$ 

 $3CaSO_{4.2}H_{2}O + 3CaO.Al_{2}O_{3.6}H_{2}O + 20 H_{2}O \rightarrow 3CaO.Al_{2}O_{3.} 3CaSO_{4.3}2H_{2}O$  (Ettringite)

Furthermore, the interaction between sulfuric acid and polyurethane, would increase the porosity of the coating film, and accordingly increases the leaching rate of calcium hydroxide.

- Generally, the values of percent change in the weight for the coated mortar cubes is always less than that those of recorded for uncoated mortar cubes. This may be attributed to water repellency of both tar residue and PUR, thus the water progress was hindered.

- For the uncoated mortar cubes, the calculated rate of water retention was lowered from  $11.49 \times 10^{-3}$  Kg/m<sup>3</sup>/hr to  $0.703 \times 10^{-3}$  Kg/m<sup>3</sup>/hr for coated cubes using R.T.R after immersion time of 28 days. For the coated mortar cubes, the calculated rate increased as the percent of the polyurethane increased. This

increase in the rate of water retention was  $0.718 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Kg/m}^3/\text{hr}$ ,  $0.788 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Kg/m}^3/\text{hr}$ , and  $1.313 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Kg/m}^3/\text{hr}$  on using R.T.R and R.T.R.PU<sub>5,10&15</sub>, respectively.

- The calculated values of sorptivity for both the uncoated and coated mortar cubes showed the same manner. It was 0.29 mm/hr for the uncoated mortar cubes and lowered to 0.0182 mm/hr, 0.0186 mm/hr, 0.0204 mm/hr and to 0.0340 mm/hr on using coated mortar cubes R.T.R and R.T.R.PU<sub>5.10&15</sub>, respectively.

- Table 5 illustrates the chloride diffusion resistance using ion chromatography technique. It was noticed that, the phenomenon of the chloride ion retention for different mortar cubes followed the same rout as the one recorded by the water retention.

Specimen	Chloride ion diffusion (ppm)
- Uncoated mortar cubes	548.6
<ul><li>coated mortar cubes using:</li><li>R.T.R</li></ul>	105
• R.T.R.PU <sub>5</sub>	107
• R.T.R.PU <sub>10</sub>	112
• R.T.R.PU <sub>15</sub>	114

**Table 5** Chloride Diffusion Resistance Using Ion Chromatography

## *Effect of Chemical Reagents on the Compressive Strength of Mortar Cubes*

Figures 5, 6 and 7 show the effect of different reagents on the compressive strength value of immersed mortar cubes for different intervals of time. Generally, it was found that:

- For cubes uncoated and coated with R.T.R, the value of compressive strength increased from 145 to 160 Kg/cm2. This may be attributed to the use of pitch which acts as a strengthening material and closes, to some extent, to the pores of the external surface mortar cubes.



(o)\*: Before Immersion case

**Figure 5** Effect of Tap Water on the Compressive Strength Values of Mortar Cubes Coated with Unmodified and Modified T.R



(o)\*: Before Immersion case

**Figure 6** Effect of 3 % Sulfuric Acid Solution on the Compressive Strength of Mortar Cubes Coated with Unmodified and Modified T.R





(o)\*: Before Immersion case

**Figure 7** Effect of 5% Magnesium Chloride Solution on the Compressive Strength of Mortar Cubes Coated with Unmodified and Modified T.R

-In case of using the coal tar modified with PUR, the value of the compressive strength decreased as the concentration of polyurethane increased. The compressive strength value decreased from 160 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> to 155 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup>, 150 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> and 145 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> on using mortar cubes coated with R.T.R and R.T.R.PU<sub>5,10&15</sub>, respectively. This may be attributed to the increase of viscosity the mixture.

- In case of tap water, for the uncoated mortar cubes, the obtained values of the compressive strength followed the same manner as that recorded the percent change in weight before and after immersion. This may be attributed to the motion of water molecules into the mortar cubes itself.

- **In case of acid solution,** for the uncoated mortar cubes, the compressive strength values decreased from 145Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> to 85 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> after immersion time of four weeks (28 day). The percent change is 41.4%, with an estimated rate of decrees was 2.14 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup>/day. This may be attributed to the reaction of sulfuric acid with calcium hydroxide producing gypsum, which in turn reacts with calcium aluminates in the cement material of the cubes to produce

Ettringite, which has low compressive strength, as mentioned before.

- **In case of Mgcl<sub>2</sub> solution,** for the uncoated mortar cubes, the value decreased from 145 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> before immersion to 90 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> after immersion for a period of four weeks with an estimated rate of 1.964 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup>/day.

-For coated specimens in tap water, the obtained values of compressive strength followed the same route as weight change after immersion for four weeks. This may be attributed to the motion of water molecules into the mortar cube itself as mentioned before. The rates of change in the compressive strength for the coated mortar cubes were lower than those of the uncoated mortar cubes. For example, after 28 days of immersion time, the compressive strength value was lowered from 145 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> to 120 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> with percent of 17.2% on using the uncoated mortar cubes, while it fell from 160 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> to 140 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> and from 150 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> to 140 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> in the percentage of 12.5% and 6.6% in the case of using R.T.R and R.T.R.PU<sub>10</sub>, respectively. This be attributed to T.P and PUR may characteristics.

#### 2021, Volume x, Issue x

### Journal of Science and Technology Research

-For coated specimens in acid solution, the estimated rate of compressive strength decreased from 2.14 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup>/ day to 0.714 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup> /day for uncoated and coated mortar cubes with using R.T.R, respectively. This may be attributed to the characteristics of the coal tar as a water repellant material that may decrease the effect of sulfuric acid on the mortar cubes. On the other hand, the estimated rate increased to 0.89 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup>/day, 1.07 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup>/day, and 1.25 Kg/cm<sup>2</sup>/day in the case of using R.T.R.PU<sub>5,10&15</sub>, respectively. This may be attributed to increasing the rate of the action of sulfuric acid as mentioned before.

-For coated specimens in MgCl<sub>2</sub>, the compressive strength values decreased at the end of immersion time (28 days) although the values changed up and down for the other immersion times (7, 14& 21 days). It may be attributed to the effect of MgCl<sub>2</sub> on the mortar constituents and to the motion of water molecules from one layer to another, so surface and internal pores in the cubes opened and closed based on this motion. For coated mortar cubes with (R.T.R) it was found that, the values of compressive strength decreased from 160 Kg  $/ \text{ cm}^2$  before immersion to 120 Kg  $/ \text{ cm}^2$  after 3 days of immersion. Then, it increased by time to 130 Kg / cm<sup>2</sup>, 135 Kg / cm<sup>2</sup>, 140 Kg / cm<sup>2</sup> & 150 Kg / cm<sup>2</sup> for 3, 7, 14, 21, 28 days immersion time. This may be explained by that the effect of MgCl<sub>2</sub> on mortar constituents was very rapid on first few days of immersion (first case). On the other hand, the compressive strength values increased by time because of the formed salts close, to some extent, to the pores present in the cubes. Also, for coated cubes with R.T.P.PU<sub>5</sub> and R.T.P.PU<sub>10</sub>, the compressive strength values decreased from 155 Kg / cm<sup>2</sup> to 120 Kg / cm<sup>2</sup> and from 150 Kg /  $cm^2$  to 140 Kg /  $cm^2$ . respectively, after 3 days of immersion. This may be a result of water motion.

### Conclusion

In this research, waste tar pitch was modified to produce a local coating material due to its special characteristics to be suitable for use in both sewage water and sea water medias using PUR as the most popular material used on commercial scale, up to 15% by weight of the residue. The prepared coating materials were used to coat mortar cubes which are totally immersed for four weeks -representing sever condition- in different chosen chemical regents as tap water,  $H_2SO_4(3\%$  conc.) and  $MgCl_2(5\%$  conc.). The last two chemicals representing both sewage water and sea water, respectively. Both change in weight and compressive strength values were measured for the uncoated and coated mortar cubes before and after immersion. The net results showed that:

1- All prepared coatings gave satisfied physical characteristics.

2- Addition of PUR up to 10% by weight of coal residue produced coating materials with the most favorable physical and chemical behavior.

3- Modification of tar pitch with PUR in the percentage of 15% may be not recommended specially in acidic media.

#### ORCID

Alireza Bozorgian

https://www.orcid.org/0000-0002-2454-5027

#### References

- B. Raei, A. Bozorgian, Journal of Chemistry Letters, 2020, 1, 143-148. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [2] S. Karami, M. Javan Nikkhah, KB. otouhifar, V. Rahjoo, A. Ahmadpour, *Iranian Journal of Plant Protection Science*, **2020**, *51*, 129-146. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [3] M. Bagheri Sadr, A. Bozorgian, *Journal of Chemical Reviews*, 2021, 3, 66-82.
   [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [4] A. Haghighi Asl, A. Ahmadpour, N. Fallah, Journal of Modeling in Engineering, 2018, 16, 295-307. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [5] A. Bozorgian, Journal of Chemical Reviews, 2021, 3, 50-65. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [6] N. Kayedi, A. Samimi, M. Asgari Bajgirani, A. Bozorgian, *South African Journal of Chemical*

Engineering, **2021**, 35, 153-158. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

- [7] S.V. Mousavi, A. Bozorgian, N. Mokhtari, M.A. Gabris, H.R. Nodeh, *Microchemical Journal*, 2019, 145, 914-920. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [8] A. Bozorgian, Advanced Journal of Chemistry, Section B: Natural Products and Medical Chemistry, 2021, 3, 54-61. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [9] A. Haghighi Asl, A. Ahmadpour, N. Fallah, Applied Chemistry, 2017, 12, 253-286. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [10] A. Bozorgian, A. Samimi, International Journal of New Chemistry, 2021, 8, 41-58.
   [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [11] M. Bagheri sadr, A. Bozorgian, International Journal of Advanced Studies in Humanities and Social Science, 2020, 9, 252-261. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [12] A. Bozorgian, Journal of Engineering in Industrial Research, 2020, 1, 1-19.
   [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [13] A. Bozorgian, Progress in Chemical and Biochemical Research, 2020, 3, 169-179.
   [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [14] A. Surendar, A. Bozorgian, A. Maseleno, L.K. Ilyashenko, M. Najafi, *Inorganic Chemistry Communications*, **2018**, 96, 206-210. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [15] A. Bozorgian, Advanced Journal of Chemistry, Section B, 2020, 2, 91-101. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [16] A. Bozorgian, Advanced Journal of Science and Engineering, 2020, 1, 34-39. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [21] J. Mashhadizadeh, A. Bozorgian, A. Azimi, *Eurasian Chemical Communication*, 2020, 2, 536-547. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [22] A. Bozorgian, International Journal of Advanced Studies in Humanities and Social Science, 2020, 9, 205-218. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [23] M.E. Bidhendi, Z. Asadi, A. Bozorgian, A. Shahhoseini, M.A. Gabris, *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy.*, 2020, 39, 13306. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

- [24] A. Bozorgian, International Journal of Advanced Studies in Humanities and Social Science, 2020, 9, 241-251. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [25] A. Bozorgian, International Journal of Advanced Studies in Humanities and Social Science, 2020, 9, 229-240. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [26] A. Bozorgian, Chemical Review and Letters, 2020, 3, 94-97. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [27] A. Bozorgian, Chemical Review and Letters, 2020, 3, 79-85. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [28] A. Samimi, K. Kavosi, S. Zarinabadi, A. Bozorgian, Progress in Chemical and Biochemical Research, 2020, 3, 7-19. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [29] A. Bozorgian, S. Zarinabadi, A. Samimi, *Chemical Methodologies*, **2020**, *4*, 477-493. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [17]. A. Bozorgian, Z.A. Aboosadi, A. Mohammadi, B. Honarvar, A. Azimi, *Prog. Chem. and Biochem. Rese.*, **2020**, *3*, 31-38. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [18] A. Bozorgian, Journal of Engineering in Industrial Research, 2021, 2, 90-94.
   [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [19] A. Bozorgian, Progress in Chemical and Biochemical Research, 2021, 4, 207-219.
   [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [20] A. Bozorgian, Z.A. Aboosadi, A. Mohammadi, B. Honarvar, A. Azimi, *Journal* of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, 2020, 54, 73-81. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [30] A. Pourabadeh, B. Nasrollahzadeh, R. Razavi, A. Bozorgian, M. Najafi, *Journal of Structural Chemistry*, **2018**, *59*, 1484-1491. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [31] A. Bozorgian, Z. Arab Aboosadi, A. Mohammadi, B. Honarvar, A. Azimi, *Eurasian Chemical Communications*, 2020, 2, 420-426. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [32] S.E. Mousavi, A. Bozorgian, International Journal of New Chemistry, 2020, 7, 195-219. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]
- [33] A. Bozorgian, S. Zarinabadi, A. Samimi, Journal of Chemical Reviews, **2020**, 2, 122-

Journal of Science and Technology Research

129. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

[34] A. Samimi, S. Zarinabadi, A. Bozorgian, A. Amosoltani, *Progress in Chemical and* 

Biochemical Research, **2020**, *3*, 46-54. [crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

 [35] B. Raei, A. Bozorgian, Journal of Chemistry Letters, 2021, 1, 143-148. [crossref],
 [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

Copyright © 2021 by SPC (<u>Sami Publishing Company</u>) + is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>CC BY</u>) license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.